

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/734,650	12/13/2000	Hanine Abdelkrim	Q61910	4807
5590 05/05/2004 SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC			EXAMINER	
			NALVEN, ANDREW L	
Suite 800 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Washington, DC 20037-3213			2134	
			DATE MAILED: 05/05/2004	.

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	1	Application No.	Applicant(s)
• 2		09/734,650	ABDELKRIM, HANINE
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Andrew L Nalven	2134
۔۔ Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHC THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply been of for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)☐ 3 3)☐ 3	Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{13 De}$. This action is FINAL . 2b) \boxtimes This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E .	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Dispositio	n of Claims		
5)	Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application. a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		
Applicatio	n Papers		
10)⊠ T	the specification is objected to by the Examine the drawing(s) filed on <u>13 December 2000</u> is/all Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction to the oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a) \square accepted or b) \square object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)⊠ 2	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureause the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary	(PTO-413)
2) D Notice 3) Inform	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 3.	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	

Application/Control Number: 09/734,650

Art Unit: 2134

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Claims 1-4 are pending.
- 2. The IDS submitted 13 December 2000 has been received and considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Parodi et al "Integrating ObjectBroker and DCE Security" in view of Ossher et al "Combination of Inheritance Hierarchies." Parodi discloses a secure object request broker system.
- 5. With regards to claims 1 and 3, Parodi teaches a first class including methods of initiating a secured call (Parodi, Page 46, Items 1,2,3 and Page 42 Paragraph 1), a second class including methods of accepting a secure call (Parodi, Page 46, Items 9-10 and Page 42 Paragraph 1), and a third class including methods of bidirectional exchange of messages via a secured call or the secured closure of the call (Parodi, Page 46, column 1 Paragraph 2). Parodi fails to teach the three classes structured in a hierarchy. Ossher teaches classes structured in a hierarchy (Ossher, Figures 1,2,3 and

Application/Control Number: 09/734,650

Art Unit: 2134

Page 25 Column 2). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to utilize Ossher's method of class hierarchy's because it offers the advantage of allowing extensions to code and capabilities to be made with ease and without large amounts of new code (Ossher, Page 25, Introduction, Column 1 and 2).

6. With regards to claims 2 and 4, Parodi as modified teaches the methods conforming to GSS-API specifications (Parodi, Page 42, Column 2, Paragraph 3).

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew L Nalven whose telephone number is 703 305 8407. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 8-6, Alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Morse can be reached on 703 308 4789. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Andrew Nalven

MATTHEW SMITHERS PRIMARY EXAMINER Art Unit 2/37